Court of the District aforesaid an information against Harry Gelfand, trading as Eagle Meat Market, Washington, D. C., alleging that said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on February 14, 1918, a quantity of smoked sausage which was adulterated.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed the following results:

Per	cen	ŧ.
Starch	3.	5
Cereal	5.	0

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a substance, to wit, a cereal product, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to lower and reduce and injuriously affect its quality and strength, and had been substituted in part for smoked sausage, which the article purported to be.

On November 27, 1918, the defendant entered a plea of nolo contendere to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$20.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

7019. Adulteration of smoked sausage. U. S. * * v. Benjamin Bauman and Louis Schurman (Bauman & Schurman). Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 9312. I. S. No. 3366-p.)

On August 26, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of said District an information against Benjamin Bauman and Louis Schurman, copartners, trading as Bauman & Schurman, Washington, D. C., alleging that said defendants did offer for sale and sell, at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on February 14, 1918, a quantity of smoked sausage which was adulterated.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed the following results:

Per	Per cent.	
Starch	5.5	
Cereal	7.8	

Adulteration of the article was alleged for the reason that a substance, to wit, a cereal product, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to lower and reduce and injuriously affect its quality and strength, and had been substituted in part for smoked sausage, which the article purported to be.

On August 26, 1919, the defendants having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had theretofore been deposited by them to insure their appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

7020. Adulteration of smoked sausage. U. S. * * * v. Old Dutch Market, a corporation. Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, \$200. (F. & D. No. 9313. I. S. Nos. 3344-p, 3346-p.)

On November 27, 1918, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against the Old Dutch Market, a corporation, doing business at Washington, D. C., alleging that said company did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food